



City of Austin

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Project Management Division

505 Barton Springs Road, Suite 900, 78704

Telephone 512.974.7974; Fax 512.974.7239 Email (Paulinda.Lanham@austintexas.gov)

October 26, 2015

PROJECT: Main to Junction 420 (Downtown Area)

CIP ID: 5267.046

IFB#: 6100 CLMC550

SUBJECT: Answers to Bidders Questions as of the date of this letter, per 00100-IFB Article 1.(3)(C).

The following are answers to bidders questions received on the above project. These answers do not modify the contract. Any modifications to the Contract will be through Addenda.

Q-1: There are several plan pages (4-6, 10-13, 15, 18, 19) with large black x's across the entire page. This usually means the work shown is not part of the scope of work for the project, but it certainly seems this work is part of the project. Can you clarify why the x's are there or if it's a mistake? I couldn't find anything explaining them.

A-1: The "x's are not a mistake and are shown on the referenced sheets because the easements are in the process of being acquired.

Q-2: For the directional bore under Ladybird Lake, the lengths of pipe typed on the pipe in the profile only adds up to 1711 LF, yet the 00300U has 1922 LF of 30" HDPE installed by HDD. Can the length be verified?

A-2: Refer to Volume I and Volume II as updated per Addendum 3.

Q-3: In 01010 1.29 the sequence of certain work areas is laid out. The work in section C is not to be done before the notice to proceed is issued and that work should happen in the last 90 days of the project. Since no work anywhere on the project would begin until a notice to proceed is issued it seems like 1.29 C (and B as well) are referring to individual, area specific notices to proceed. Is that correct? It would be meaningless to include that sentence if not. I am concerned for the work in section B. If the work is to start with the same notice to proceed as the entire project, it is unlikely the project could be started, submittals processed, material ordered and supplied, 2 bores and a couple hundred feet of pipe installed in 28 days. The inferred intent seems to be that there will be a maximum amount of time allowed in the park. I see the work is tentatively scheduled for July 2016. Since this date is so far out (prebid notes indicate a tentative January 2016 award) it seems maybe a separate notice to proceed would be issued for that work or that the execution of contract will be significantly spaced from the overall project's notice to proceed (providing time for submittals, material manufacture, etc). Nowhere in the

manual can I find anything about multiple notices to proceed, yet the intent seems to be that the work in section B would occur later than the HDD and that a separate notice to proceed would be required for the work in section C. So, from all that, I see a few clear questions: Will the work in sections B and C be started after individual area specific notices to proceed are issued? If not, will there be significant time between the execution of contract and the single notice to proceed for the project? Since a baseline schedule is required with bid submission, this needs to be clarified so the bidders' schedules reflect the City's intent.

A-3: Refer to Volume I as updated per Addendum 3.



Paulinda Lanham, PMP